



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

m
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,879	09/10/2003	David Matthew Oles	IGTECH.0115P	6926
7590	02/18/2004		EXAMINER	
Weide & Miller, Ltd. Suite 530 7251 W. Lake Mead Blvd. Las Vegas, NV 89128			ONEILL, MICHAEL W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3713	

DATE MAILED: 02/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application N .	Applicant(s)
	10/660,879	OLES ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Michael O'Neill	3713	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 September 2003.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: updated continuity data is needed on the first line of the instant specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Colbert, USPN 5,594,806.

See col. 2, where Colbert discloses the invention can be applied in gambling casinos; thus meeting the limitation of being used at gaming machines. See. col. 7:53 through col. 8:38 for a typical operation of the disclosed invention and thus how it anticipates the claimed method steps within the instant claims. For instance, generating image information regarding a person is met by scanning the knuckles of a new user. Storing the information on a card is met with the first step 6 as

Art Unit: 3713

written in col. 8:9-10. Reading said information is met by the insertion of the card at the "guarded station". Obtaining the image is met with the person grasping the grip handle (10) and rotating it for a scan. Comparison and confirmation is met with steps 3 and 4 in col. 8:23-31. Transmitting a rejection to a remote location is met by the disclosure of an alarm means may sound. An alarm sound travel quite distance depending on its loudness. See the figures with respect to the frontal mounting locations.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please note that prior art the Examiner has cited and its possibility of being used to obviate the claimed invention. The Examiner chose only to use the Colbert reference to reject the claimed invention because of its expressly disclosing as an application "gambling casinos" and not unduly the Applicant is responding to multiple rejections. However, if the Applicant wishes to continue to utilize broad claim language to define the invention, then the Examiner will be forced to provide multiple rejections for individual claims to demonstrate the unpatentability of the claimed invention, thus forcing the Applicant to respond to each and every rejection, for not responding to one rejection would

Art Unit: 3713

hold the response non-responsive and a 1 month letter of non-responsiveness would be issued henceforth. The Examiner is hoping the Applicant is not going to utilized willful blindness in their analysis of the prior art of record vis-à-vis the claimed invention and read each piece of art supplied by the Examiner. The Examiner doesn't supply multiple pieces of prior art just to clutter the file wrapper or prosecution history.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael O'Neill whose telephone number is 703-308-3484. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa J. Walberg can be reached on 703-308-1327. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3713

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MON



MICHAEL O'NEILL
PRIMARY EXAMINER